

Combined Hormonal Contraception and Thrombophilia Screening in Patients with a Family History of Thrombosis

A clinical guideline recommended

For use in:	Gynaecology Services
By:	Contraception and Sexual Health Doctors, Nurses, Gynaecologists
For:	Use of combined hormonal contraception in patients with a family history of thrombosis
Division responsible for document:	Women and Children's
Key words:	Thrombosis, thrombophilia screening, combined hormonal contraception, family history of thrombosis.
Names and job titles of document authors:	Gautam Raje - Consultant Obstetrician and Gynaecologist Dr H Lyall - Consultant Haematologist
Names and job titles of document author's Line Managers:	Mr. Joaquin Nieto - Chief of Women and Children's Division
Supported by:	Gynaecology Guidelines Committee
Assessed and approved by the:	Gynaecology Guidelines Committee (GGC)
Date of approval:	23/10/2020
Ratified by or reported as approved to (if applicable):	Clinical Guidelines Assessment Panel (CGAP)
To be reviewed before: This document remains current after this date but will be under review	23/10/2023
To be reviewed by:	Dr Catherine Schunmann
Reference and / or Trustdocs ID No:	G21 – ID No: 759
Version No:	3
Compliance links: (is there any NICE Related to guidance)	No
If Yes – does the strategy/policy deviate from the recommendations of NICE? If so, why?	N/A

Combined Hormonal Contraception and Thrombophilia Screening in Patients with a Family History of Thrombosis

Version and Document Control:

Version Number	Date of Update	Change Description	Author
3	23/10/2020	No clinical changes	

This is a Controlled Document

Printed copies of this document may not be up to date. Please check the hospital intranet for the latest version and destroy all previous versions.

Hormonal Contraception and Thrombophilia Screening in Patients with a Family History of Thrombosis

Background

There are 3 types of combined hormonal contraception (CHC) licensed for use in the UK; the combined oral contraceptive pill (COC), the combined transdermal patch (CTP) and the combined vaginal ring (CVR). Data for venous thromboembolic (VTE) risk associated with the CTP and CVR are limited and conflicting and for the purposes of this guideline, the three methods will be assessed together under the term CHC.

The United Kingdom Medical Eligibility Criteria (UKMEC) classification of CHC use in the presence of VTE is as follows:

Risk factors	UK Medical Eligibility Criteria
Personal history of VTE (idiopathic or provoked)	UKMEC 4 (completely contraindicated)
Family history VTE in first degree relative < 45 years	UKMEC 3 (risks likely to outweigh benefits)
Family history VTE in first degree relative > 45 years	UKMEC 2 (benefits likely to outweigh risks)

All women requesting CHC should have a careful medical history taken documenting personal and family history of VTE in order to identify those women in whom CHC use represents an unacceptable risk (UKMEC 4) or where the risks are likely to outweigh the benefits (UKMEC 3). Women should be informed about the health risks associated with use of CHC and with regard to VTE, advised that the use of CHC is associated with an increased risk of VTE, but that the absolute risk of VTE for an individual CHC user remains very small.

Baseline risk of VTE associated with non-use and CHC use over the course of 1 year

	Risk of VTE per 10,000 healthy women
Non-contraceptive users and not pregnant	2 - 5
CHC containing ethinylestradiol plus levonorgestrel, norgestimate or norethisterone	5 - 7
CHC containing ethinylestradiol plus gestodene, desogestrel, drospirenone	9 - 12
CHC containing etonorgestrel (ring), and norelgestromin (patch)	6 - 12
Pregnancy	29
Immediate post partum period	300 - 400

There appears to be synergism between risk factors for VTE and in particular obesity. Whilst age and raised BMI (>30kg/m²) are independent risk factors for VTE, none of these factors on their own limit a woman's suitability to use CHCs. However, if multiple risk factors exist, these should be taken into account.

Hormonal Contraception and Thrombophilia Screening in Patients with a Family History of Thrombosis

Thrombophilia screening

Heritable thrombophilia describes an inherited tendency for VTE. Testing for heritable thrombophilia does not typically predict recurrence in unselected patients. In a large cohort study, testing for inherited thrombophilia did not reduce VTE recurrence. Moreover, in affected asymptomatic relatives followed prospectively, there is a low risk of VTE.

Thrombophilia testing is expensive and time-consuming. Indiscriminate testing can result in uncertainty about the practicalities of dealing with positive results. Positive results may cause unjustified concern to an individual and their families whereas a negative result may provide false reassurance. It is important, therefore, that if screening is considered, it is targeted appropriately and only performed when the results will have a direct impact on clinical management.

Thrombophilia screening and CHC use

Screening for inherited thrombophilia in women requesting CHC with no known family history of thrombophilia is considered to be neither cost effective nor necessary.

Screening women with a first-degree relative who has a hereditary thrombophilia is of uncertain benefit and studies evaluating the cost-effectiveness are of generally low quality. One limitation of these studies is that they consider only 1 year of COC use rather than the longer durations that reflect many women's use. Furthermore, they do not take into account other situations that increase VTE risk – pregnancy, surgery, air travel – for which knowing thrombophilia status could be beneficial. Due to the lack of high-quality evidence, the Faculty of Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) CHC Guidance advises that a woman with a first-degree relative who has an inherited thrombophilia can be counselled that a negative thrombophilia screen does not necessarily exclude thrombophilia (particularly if the relative has had a VTE event) and therefore a contraceptive method other than CHC, that is not associated with increased VTE risk, should be considered. If no other method is acceptable, specialist opinion should be obtained.

Recommendations

1. Women should be considered at higher risk of VTE than the general population if they have a first degree relative with a history of:
 - Venous thrombosis under age 45.
 - Arterial thrombosis under age 30.
 - Known family thrombophilic defect.
2. Such women should be advised to consider contraception other than CHC. If alternative methods are unacceptable or if they have been tried and found to have unacceptable side effects, thrombophilia screening *may* provide further information on the risks of VTE. If another risk factor for VTE is present, such as BMI>30, CHC is completely contraindicated.
3. Women with a negative thrombophilia test must still be considered at increased risk of VTE as many thrombophilic abnormalities remain undetectable by current techniques – thus, the benefits of thrombophilia

Hormonal Contraception and Thrombophilia Screening in Patients with a Family History of Thrombosis

screening in these women are not clear-cut and this should be understood by the patient and clinician prior to testing. A discussion with a Consultant Haematologist concerning the value of thrombophilia testing in these circumstances should be considered.

4. It may take about 1 month for results to become available. Current tests look for inherited abnormalities in anti-thrombin 3, protein C, protein S, factor V Leiden and prothrombin gene mutations. The lupus anticoagulant / anticardiolipin antibodies are acquired thrombophilic defects but should also be checked (as part of their global thrombophilia risk).
5. A careful risk-benefit analysis considering medical and family history, individual risk factors and test results, must be made for all patients, particularly if an abnormality is found at screening. This should include advice from a Consultant Haematologist and a Consultant in Sexual & Reproductive Health. If a compound defect or anti-thrombin deficiency or lupus antibodies are found CHC should not be prescribed. The clinical history of the affected relative is important and caution should be exercised if the VTE was apparently unprovoked or linked with the use of CHC or with pregnancy.
6. If COC is prescribed it should be a low dose brand (20-30 µg ethinylestradiol) containing either levonorgestrel or norethisterone as the progestogen component.
Rigevidon/Levest/Ovranette/Microgynon/Maexeni/Elevin.
Loestrin 30/Loestrin20.
Logynon/Triregol.
7. Risk of VTE is highest in the months immediately after initiation of CHC or when restarting after a break of at least 1 month. The risk then reduces over the first year of use and remains stable thereafter. Frequent stopping and starting of CHC is therefore discouraged. For women using CHC for more than 1 year who subsequently develop a significant family history of VTE, there may be less benefit from thrombophilia screening.
8. CHC should be stopped 6 weeks before screening as it affects protein C and S levels.

Acknowledgement:

This guideline was originally produced in co-operation with Dr K Nash (Sexual and Reproductive Health) and Dr H Lyall, Consultant Haematologist.

This guideline has been updated by Dr C Schunmann (Consultant in Sexual and Reproductive Health) and Dr H Lyall (Consultant Haematologist).

References:

Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) Clinical Effectiveness Unit; UK Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use. UKMEC 2016. www.fsrh.org.uk

Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) Clinical Effectiveness Unit. Combined Hormonal Contraception. January 2019 www.fsrh.org.uk

Hormonal Contraception and Thrombophilia Screening in Patients with a Family History of Thrombosis

Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) Clinical Statement. Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) and Hormonal Contraception. www.fsrh.org.uk Nov 2014

Baglin T, Gray E, Greaves M et al Clinical Guidelines for testing Heritable Thrombophilia. BJH 149 209-220 Jan 2010

Dinger. J, Heinemann A, Kuhl-Habich D The safety of a Drospirinone-containing Oral Contraceptive: final results from the European Active Surveillance study on oral contraceptives based on 142,475 women- years of observation Contraception 75 (2007) 344-354

Van Vlijmen EFW, Wiewel-Verschueren S, Monster BM, Meijer K. Combined oral contraceptives, thrombophilia and the risk of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis 14: 1393-1403 2016. DOI: 10.1111/jth.13349

NICE Guidelines. Venous thromboembolic diseases: diagnosis, management and thrombophilia testing. NG158 March 2020

Vandenbroucke JP, van der Meer FJM, Helmerhorst FM, Rosendaal FR. Factor V Leiden: Should we screen oral contraceptive users and pregnant women? BMJ 313: 1127-1130 Nov 1996.

Vernon E, Hiedemann B, Bowie BH. Economic Evaluations of Thrombophilia Screening Prior to Prescribing Combined Oral Contraceptives: A Systematic and Critical Review. Appl Health Econ Health Policy 2017;15:583–95